# **Fitch**Ratings

#### RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

# Fitch Downgrades Net4gas to 'BB+'; Maintains RWN

Tue 10 May, 2022 - 12:04 ET

Fitch Ratings - Milan - 10 May 2022: Fitch Ratings has downgraded Net4gas, s.r.o.'s Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) and senior unsecured rating to 'BB+' from 'BBB' and maintained them on Rating Watch Negative (RWN).

The downgrade reflects our view of substantially higher risk to Net4gas' long-term shipor-pay contracts with Gazprom, in combination with the current level of indebtedness and the deterioration of the key counterparty's credit quality.

Our view is derived from the progressive escalation of sanctions between Europe and Russia, which could prevent the receipt of scheduled payments from Gazprom in case of further measures, and from Europe's firm commitment to strongly reduce their reliance on Russian gas. While Net4gas' infrastructure could accommodate alternative flows, and thus receive capacity bookings also to service eastern Europe in the medium term, visibility on this is currently limited. Net4gas maintains strong liquidity and options for counter-measures in case of adverse development in its transit business.

The RWN reflects the material risk of non-payment from Gazprom, potentially stemming from a complete halt of gas flows from Russia to the EU. We would affirm the rating with a Stable Outlook if such risk eases or if medium-term cash flow visibility improves, potentially as a consequence of easing geopolitical tensions. The RWN resolution could take longer than six months.

# **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

Long-Term Contracts at Risk: We see increasing risk to the long-term ship-or-pay transit contracts between Net4gas and Gazprom, which account for around 75% of the company's revenue, due to potential further escalation of sanctions and deteriorating underlying gas transit fundamentals. EU has announced a clear commitment to diversify gas supply, reduce and, ultimately, eliminate its energy dependence on Russia. We acknowledge the capacity-based nature of Net4gas contracts with Gazprom; however, we believe that the increasing gap between expected gas flows and long-term booked transit capacity poses a serious threat to the company's otherwise strong revenue visibility.

**Performing Contracts, Weaker Counterparty:** At present the EU sanctions and Russia's responses have not halted gas flows to its largest end-markets in Europe or Gazprom's ability to pay under its ship-or-pay contracts, allowing Net4gas to perform better-than-expected, while accumulating CZK4.7 billion of cash on its balance sheet as of April 2022.

Assuming an ordinary flow of payments from Gazprom in the medium term, and in the absence of dividend distributions, Net4gas would reduce net debt to around 2x funds from operations (FFO) in 2026 from 4.0x in 2021, which would represent rating upside. However, Gazprom's counterparty risk is now increased since the conflict, weighing on Net4gas' creditworthiness.

Highly Uncertain Environment: The downgrade also reflects the risk that escalating sanctions could lead to a complete cut off of Russian gas to Europe and possibly one or both parties being unable to honour the ship-or-pay contract. In case of Gazprom's non-payments, funds from operations (FFO) net leverage would jump to above 10x and debt reduction capacity would be limited, all else being equal. We expect Net4gas to be entitled to claim some compensation from public authorities, if halted payments are triggered by EU sanctions, but visibility on the overall compensation process is very limited, in our view.

**Medium-Term Uncertainty**: The increasing gap between expected gas flows from Russia and long-term booked capacity in the medium term may also increase the likelihood that major shipper could attempt to challenge the contracts. While we believe Net4gas would insist on its strong legal contractual rights in this scenario, cash flow visibility, in our view, would be reduced.

Limited Visibility on Alternative Flows: We believe that Net4gas's infrastructure, aside from serving as the regulated national transmission system operator (TSO) (expected around CZK2.2 billion in annual revenue) and residual transits related to Nord Stream, will continue to play a key role in allowing central and eastern European (CEE) countries' gas imports, even in absence of Russian gas. However, any estimate of alternative gas

flows and contractual visibility (ie short- or long-term bookings) would depend on the final shape of the European gas market, which is highly uncertain at present.

Challenged Capital Structure: A failure to pay by Net4gas's major shipper, or a potential contractual dispute, would require, in our view, a material rebalance of the capital structure, which currently includes around CZK34 billion financial debt. We view both Allianz Capital Partners and OMERS as disciplined shareholders (dividends are currently suspended until "the risk of an external shock materialising has significantly reduced") and expect them to avoid distributions in a highly uncertain environment. However, the visibility of support under extreme scenarios is now understandably low. Once business visibility is largely restored, we expect the company and its shareholders to adhere to the original target of an investment-grade capital structure, which we see as achievable.

#### **DERIVATION SUMMARY**

eustream, a.s. (BBB+/RWN; 'bbb' Standalone Credit Profile recently revised from 'a-') is Net4gas's closest rated peer since both companies own and operate gas transit pipelines in Slovakia and the Czech Republic, respectively, although Net4gas also benefits from a higher share of domestic business with more supportive regulation and almost zero exposure to short-term contracts. Both companies are highly dependent on Russian gas transit to Europe, with concentrated counterparty risk while eustream's balance sheet is significantly less leveraged (around 2.5x FFO net leverage)

Net4gas is in a weaker competitive position than fully regulated national TSO peers such as Snam S.p.A. (BBB+/Stable) and REN - Redes Energeticas Nacionais, SGPS, S.A. (BBB/Stable) and pure gas distributor Czech Gas Networks Investments S.a r.l (BBB/Stable). The latter shares the same country, regulator and a supportive fifth regulatory period as Net4gas, but its earnings from traditionally regulated networks allow for a higher debt capacity than long-term ship-or-pay contracts.

Furthermore, under our current corporate rating methodology, ship-or-pay contracts do not intrinsically strengthen recovery prospects for senior creditors, and, therefore, do not allow Net4gas's senior unsecured debt to be notched up from the IDR, unlike that of Czech Gas Network Investment, given the dominant contribution of high-quality regulated activities.

#### **KEY ASSUMPTIONS**

- Revenue is based on the current regulatory framework for 2022-2025 (RP5), which entails a weighted average cost of capital set at 6.43%, the alignment of regulated asset base (RAB) to net asset value within RP5 and the inclusion of the MCE investments in RAB in 2022

- For the transit business, we conservatively assume lower revenue compared with the ship-or-pay contract, to factor in the reduced visibility related to sanctions and high geopolitical tensions
- No additional short-term bookings from 2023 onwards
- Transit operating expenditure reduced by 30%-35% from 2023 onwards, reflecting estimated potential rationalisation opportunities
- Cumulative capex reduced by more than CZK1.3 billion in 2022-2026, reflecting estimated potential rationalisation opportunities
- No dividend payments during 2022-2026

### **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

-We would affirm the rating and remove it from RWN if long-term business visibility and operating environment improve or if the shareholders further tangibly demonstrate their intention to support the company

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- Sudden and near-complete cut of Russian gas supply to EU could lead to a negative rating action, as it would materially increase the risk of non-payment under ship-or-pay contracts in our view
- Failure of Gazprom (or Net4gas itself) to fulfil their long-term contracts obligations could imply a multi-notch downgrade
- Evidence of further significant deterioration of the business profile, with a permanent loss of a large part current transit income not replaced by alternative medium-to-long-term bookings or not sufficiently compensated by a more conservative capital structure

# **BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO**

International scale credit ratings of Non-Financial Corporate issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best-

and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

# LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Adequate Liquidity: Net4gas's adequate liquidity is supported by the cash-generative nature of its gas transport business, leading to positive pre-dividend FCF generation, and by first debt maturities only in 2025 (around CZK10 billion) and 2026 (around CZK5 billion). We estimate that the company can repay through available cash and operating cashflows its 2025 and 2026 debt maturity in our revised case.

Net4gas does not have undrawn committed credit lines, but at end-April it had around CZK4.7 billion of cash and cash equivalent.

#### **ISSUER PROFILE**

Net4gas is a gas TSO whose complex set of pipelines is situated on the transit route of gas from Russia to western Europe. Historically the domestic regulated transportation business accounts for about 25% of total revenues, while the remaining 75% is almost fully related to its long-term contracted international gas transit business.

# REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

#### **ESG CONSIDERATIONS**

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

#### **RATING ACTIONS**

ENTITY / DEBT ♦ RATING ♦ PRIOR ♦

NET4GAS, s.r.o.	LT IDR	BB+ Rating Watch Negative	BBB Rating Watch
	Downgrade		Negative
senior unsecured	LT BI	B+ Rating Watch Negative	BBB Rating Watch
	Downgr	ade	Negative

#### **VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS**

# **FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS**

# Nicolò Meroni

Director

**Primary Rating Analyst** 

+39 02 879087 296

nicolo.meroni@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited Sede Secondaria Italiana

Via Morigi, 6 Ingresso Via Privata Maria Teresa, 8 Milan 20123

# Jaime Sierra

Senior Analyst
Secondary Rating Analyst
+49 69 768076 275
jaime.sierrapuerta@fitchratings.com

# **Antonio Totaro**

Senior Director
Committee Chairperson
+39 02 879087 297
antonio.totaro@fitchratings.com

# **MEDIA CONTACTS**

# Stefano Bravi

Milan

+39 02 879087 281

stefano.bravi@fitchratings.com

# **Tahmina Pinnington-Mannan**

London

+44 20 3530 1128

tahmina.p-mannan@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

# **PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

#### **APPLICABLE CRITERIA**

Country-Specific Treatment of Recovery Ratings Criteria (pub. 05 Jan 2021)

Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria (pub. 09 Apr 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 15 Oct 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

#### **APPLICABLE MODELS**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.0.2 (1)

#### **ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES**

**Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form** 

**Solicitation Status** 

**Endorsement Policy** 

#### **ENDORSEMENT STATUS**

NET4GAS, s.r.o.

EU Issued, UK Endorsed

### **DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES**

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to

default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information). Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents

will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those

subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

#### **READ LESS**

#### **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

#### **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Corporate Finance Utilities and Power Europe Czech Republic